

BY R. MATTHEWS DATE 10/6/01 PAGE i



TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION	PAGE
Conceptual Design	A-1
Design Criteria	B-1
Superstructure Design	C-1
Abutment Design	D-1
Seismic Analysis	E-1
Bent Design	F-1
Continuity Provisions	G-1

BY<u>R.MATTHEWS</u>DATE<u>10/6/01</u>PAGE<u>A-1</u>

DMJM**■H**ARRIS

CONCEPTUAL DESIGN

Design a grade separation underpass structure for a single track over a city street.

Design input:

- Rail
 - Track consists of continuous welded rail (CWR) on timber ties.
 - Track is aligned perpendicular to the street.
 - No maintenance access road is needed at this location.
 - Track is a branchline that has 12 million gross tons of traffic a year.
 - There is no detour around the bridge.
 - Approximately 25% of the traffic is hazardous material.
 - There is not any passenger service on the line.
- Road
 - The roadway is not a community life line.
 - Bridge owner requires a minimum vertical clearance of 16'-0" for roadway.
- Site
 - Location is high seismic area near Los Angeles, California.
 - Soil is silty sand with a friction angle of 32.5 degrees.
 - Bedrock depth exceeds 200 feet.
 - Water table is greater than 100 feet below ground.
 - Pile foundations are required at this location.



BY R. MATTHEWS DATE 10/6/01 PAGE A-2

Configure bridge:

Bridge length \cong 2 x (48 + (16 + 0.02 x 36 - .67 - 3) x 1.5 + 1.25) = 138' use 140' Use (2) 70 foot long spans with central bent.

• Bridge configuration: (AREMA 9-1.4.3.1)

PREFERRED CONFIGURATION	SPECIAL CONSIDERATION
✓ Straight bridge alignment	Curved bridge alignment
✓ Normal piers	Skewed piers
✓ Uniform pier stiffness	Varying pier stiffness
✓ Uniform span stiffness	Varying span stiffness
✓ Uniform span mass	Varying span mass

DMJM HARRIS

- The preferred bridge configuration will be used in all cases

• Superstructure configuration: (AREMA 9-1.4.3.2)

PREFERRED SUPERSTRUCTURE	SPECIAL CONSIDERATION
✓ Simple spans	Continuous spans
✓ Short spans	Long spans
Light spans	✓ Heavy spans
✓ No hinges	Intermediate hinges

- (2) 70 foot long simple spans will be used.

- Shortest span arrangement is used for given roadway constraints.

- No hinges will be used

- Use precast concrete box girders, even though they are a heavier span. Steel girders are not chosen since they cost about twice that of precast concrete box girders, and the savings in foundation cost is not expected to offset this increase with only one bent. Precast I-girders are not chosen since they are unable to span the required length with the sections available from local precast manufacturers.



N = [12 + 0.03(70) + 0.12(18)](1) = 16.3 inches Use minimum abutment seat width = 30" per standard practice in California.





BY R. MATTHEWS DATE 10/6/01 PAGE B-1

DMJM HARRIS

DESIGN CRITERIA

Develop design criteria for the selected bridge concept.

Design specifications:

AREMA Manual for Railway Engineering

- Chapter 8 Concrete Structures and Foundations
- Chapter 9 Seismic Design for Railway Structures
- Chapter 19 Bridge Bearings

Design references:

Caltrans Seismic Design Criteria: www.dot.ca.gov/hq/esc/earthquake_engineering/

Material properties:

Reinforced concrete

- Concrete compressive strength = f'_c = 4000 psi
- Reinforcing yield strength = $f_y = 60,000$ psi

Prestressed concrete

- Concrete compressive strength = f'_c = 5000 psi
- Concrete strength at transfer = f'_{ci} = 4,000 psi
- Prestressing steel tensile strength = f_{pu} = 270,000 psi (low-lax)

Soil parameters:

Soil is silty sand with a friction angle of 32.5 degrees and the water table is greater than 100 feet below ground and the bedrock depth exceeds 200 feet.

- Unit weight = 120 pcf
- Active lateral pressure = (1 sin 32.5) / (1 + sin 32.5) x 120 = 36 pcf





periods of 75, 358 and 1980 years.

BY R. MATTHEWS DATE 10/6/01 PAGE B-4

- Return period = 75 years

$$A_{75} = A_{475} \left(\frac{P_R}{475}\right)^n$$

Since the 100 year return period is known, the exponent can be determined more precisely than the values given in the FEMA 273 table.

$$n = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{0.25}{0.50}\right)}{-1.558} = 0.445$$
$$A_{75} = 0.50 \left(\frac{75}{475}\right)^{0.445} = 0.22$$

- Return period = 358 years

$$A_{358} = 0.50 \left(\frac{358}{475}\right)^{0.445} = 0.44$$

- Return period = 1980 years

$$A_{1980} = e^{X}$$

$$x = \ln(A_{475}) + \left[\ln(A_{2400}) - \ln(A_{475})\right] \left[0.606\ln(P_R) - 3.73\right]$$

$$x = \ln(0.50) + \left[\ln(0.60) - \ln(0.50)\right] \left[0.606\ln(1980) - 3.73\right] = -0.535$$

$$A_{1980} = e^{-0.535} = 0.59$$

DMJM HARRIS

BY R. MATTHEWS DATE 10/6/01 PAGE C-1

SUPERSTRUCTURE DESIGN

Box Girder Design:

Use simple span length = 70 - 2.5 = 67.5 feet (c/c bearings)





Assume constant PC/PS box girder thickness = 7 inches Assume 1/2" gap between girders to be grouted solid. Assume 7" thick walkway and ballast retainer.

Dead load

Box girders = $0.15(14.17 \times 5.5 - 9.375 \times 4.33) = 5.600$ kips/ft Walkway/retainer = $0.15 \times 2 \times 0.583(3 + 1.42) = 0.773$ kips/ft Ballast = $0.12(1.17 \times 13 + 0.5 \times 4.5) = 2.095$ kips/ft Ties = $0.06 \times 8.5 \times 0.583 \times 0.75 \times 12 / 19.5 = 0.137$ kips/ft Track = Use 0.2 kips/ft

Total dead load = 8.805 kips/ft (Use 8.9 kips/ft)

Girder dead load moment = $5.6(67.5)^2 / 8 = 3189$ kip-ft Girder dead load shear = 5.6(67.5) / 2 = 189 kips

Additional dead load moment = $3.3(67.5)^2 / 8 = 1879$ kip-ft Additional dead load shear = 3.3(67.5) / 2 = 111 kips Total dead load pier reaction = $8.9 \times 70 + 0.15(9.375 \times 4.33 \times 5) = 653$ kips

DMJM **■ HA**RRIS

BY R. MATTHEWS DATE 10/6/01 PAGE C-2

• Live load (AREMA 15-Table 1-17)

Maximum Moments, Shears and Pier (or Floorbeam) Reactions for Cooper E80 Live Load or Alternative Live Load (Continued). All Values are for one rail (one-half track load). Interpolate for 67.5 foot span length.

DMJM HARRIS

SPAN MAXIMUM		MAXIMUM MOMENT	MAXIN	IUM SHEAR	(KIPS)	MAXIMUM PIER REACTION	
(FT)	(FT) (KIP-FT) (KIP-FT)		AT END	AT 1/4 POINT	AT CENTER	REACTION (KIPS)	
60	2597.80	2010.00	196.00	120.21 (123.33)	55.69 (73.33)	306.42	
70	3415.00	2608.20	221.04	131.89	61.45 (77.14)	354.08	

Maximum live load moment = 2[2598 + 0.75(3415 - 2598)] = 6422 kip-ft Maximum live load shear = 2[196 + 0.75(221 - 196)] = 430 kips Maximum live load pier reaction = 2[306 + 0.75(354 - 306)] = 684 kips

• Impact (AREMA 8-17.2.3)

I = 14 + 800 / (67.5 - 2) = 26%

• Load combinations (AREMA 8-2.2.4)

- Service load (Group I): D + L + I

Moment = 5068 + 6422 + 0.26(6422) = 13160 kip-ft Shear = 300 + 430 + 0.26(430) = 842 kips Pier reaction = 653 + 684 + 0.26(684) = 1515 kips

- Load factor (Group I): 1.4(D + 5/3(L + I))

Moment = $1.4(5068 + 1.67 \times 8092) = 26014$ kip-ft Shear = $1.4(300 + 1.67 \times 542) = 1687$ kips Pier reaction = $1.4(653 + 1.67 \times 862) = 2930$ kips



SEISMIC DESIGN EXAMPLE FOR RAILROAD UNDERPASS DMJM HARRIS BY R. MATTHEWS DATE 10/6/01 PAGE C-4 PROGRAM PSBEAM INPUT DATA ECHO 1/05/01, 8:44 am PROGRAM OPTIONS _____ Units = English (inches, pounds) Design Criteria = AREA Prestressing = Pretensioning Section Properties = Gross MATERIAL PROPERTIES _____ BEAM CONCRETE = 0.0868Unit weight Compressive strength at 28 days = 5000.00 Compressive strength at prestressing = 4000.00 Modulus of elasticity at 28 days = 4286415. Modulus of elasticity at prestressing = 3833886. MILD REINFORCING Yield strength = 60000.00 Modulus of elasticity = 29000000. PRESTRESSING STEEL Type = Low relaxation strand Diameter = 0.500 Ultimate strength = 270000.00Yield strength = 243000.00Modulus of elasticity = 28000000. SECTION PROPERTIES _____ BEAM SECTION AND DIMENSIONS Box Girder User defined D = 66.000 Btop = 42.000Htop = 7.000Bweb = 7.000Bbot = 42.000Hbot = 7.000Ftop = 0.000Fbot = 0.000

SEISMIC DESIGN EXAMPLE FOR RAILROAD UNDERPASS DMJM HARRIS BY R. MATTHEWS DATE 10/6/01 PAGE C-5 BEAM PROPERTIES Area = 1316.00MoI = 678151.Ytop = 33.000Ybot = 33.000LOADS ------Initial prestress force = 1177335.0 Number of load locations = 1 LOCATION NUMBER 1 AT X = 405CASE AXIAL SHEAR MOMENT FACTOR _____ 0. NON-COMP DEAD 0. COMPOSITE DEAD 0. LIVE PLUS IMPACT 0. PRESTRESS 0 SECONDARY PRESENT 9567000.1.4005637000.1.4000.0.000 0. 0. Ο. Ο. 24276000. 2.330 0. 0.000 0. 0. 0. 0. Ο. 0.000 MISCELLANEOUS Ο. Ο. Ο. 0.000 ALLOWABLE STRESS AND LOSS ______ ALLOWABLE STRESS Initial concrete compressive stress = 2400. Initial concrete tensile stress = 474. Final concrete compressive stress = 2000. Final concrete tensile stress = 0. Initial prestress = 202500.PRESTRESS LOSS Total prestress loss = 38424. Prestress loss at time of transfer = 12979. Anchor set = 0.000 CONFIGURATION _____ PRESTRESS PATH Straight
 Xleft
 = 0.0

 Xmiddle
 = 405.0

 Xright
 = 0.0
 Yleft = 0.000 Ymiddle = 6.920 Yright = 0.000 BEAM CONFIGURATION Beam spacing = 40.5

	HEWS DATE <u>10/6/01</u> PAGE <u>C-6</u>	-	DMJM	HAI
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * *	* * *	
	* PROGRAM PSE	ЗЕАМ	*	
	* אייגת יינוידיםדויד ∧רייג		*	
	*		*	
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * *	* * *	
]	PRESTRESS FORCES			
-	LOCATION NUMBER 1 AT x = 405			
-	CASE	VALUE		
		·	-	
	INITIAL FORCE	1177335.		
	FORCE AT TRANSFER	-20.00 1101876.		
	MOMENT AT TRANSFER	-28736912.		
	FINAL FORCE	953939.		
	FINAL MOMENT	-24878738.		
	LOCATION NUMBER 1 AT X = 405 Prestress loss = 38424			
	CASE	TOP FIBER	BOTTOM FIBER	
	CASE INITIAL PRESTRESS	TOP FIBER 	BOTTOM FIBER 2236.	
	CASE INITIAL PRESTRESS FINAL PRESTRESS	TOP FIBER 	BOTTOM FIBER 2236. 1936.	
	CASE INITIAL PRESTRESS FINAL PRESTRESS SECONDARY PRESTRESS	TOP FIBER -561. -486. 0.	BOTTOM FIBER 2236. 1936. 0.	
	CASE INITIAL PRESTRESS FINAL PRESTRESS SECONDARY PRESTRESS BEAM DEAD NON-COMP DEAD	TOP FIBER -561. -486. 0. 466. 274	BOTTOM FIBER 2236. 1936. 0. -466. -274	
	CASE INITIAL PRESTRESS FINAL PRESTRESS SECONDARY PRESTRESS BEAM DEAD NON-COMP DEAD COMPOSITE DEAD	TOP FIBER -561. -486. 0. 466. 274. 0.	BOTTOM FIBER 2236. 1936. 0. -466. -274. 0.	
	CASE INITIAL PRESTRESS FINAL PRESTRESS SECONDARY PRESTRESS BEAM DEAD NON-COMP DEAD COMPOSITE DEAD LIVE PLUS IMPACT	TOP FIBER -561. -486. 0. 466. 274. 0. 1181.	BOTTOM FIBER 2236. 1936. 0. -466. -274. 0. -1181.	
	CASE INITIAL PRESTRESS FINAL PRESTRESS SECONDARY PRESTRESS BEAM DEAD NON-COMP DEAD COMPOSITE DEAD LIVE PLUS IMPACT INIT P/S + BEAM DL	TOP FIBER -561. -486. 0. 466. 274. 0. 1181. -96.	BOTTOM FIBER 2236. 1936. 0. -466. -274. 0. -1181. 1770.	
	CASE INITIAL PRESTRESS FINAL PRESTRESS SECONDARY PRESTRESS BEAM DEAD NON-COMP DEAD COMPOSITE DEAD LIVE PLUS IMPACT INIT P/S + BEAM DL FINAL P/S + TOT DL	TOP FIBER -561. -486. 0. 466. 274. 0. 1181. -96. 254.	BOTTOM FIBER 2236. 1936. 0. -466. -274. 0. -1181. 1770. 1196.	
	CASE INITIAL PRESTRESS FINAL PRESTRESS SECONDARY PRESTRESS BEAM DEAD NON-COMP DEAD COMPOSITE DEAD LIVE PLUS IMPACT INIT P/S + BEAM DL FINAL P/S + TOT DL P/S + ALL LOADS	TOP FIBER -561. -486. 0. 466. 274. 0. 1181. -96. 254. 1435.	BOTTOM FIBER 2236. 1936. 0. -466. -274. 0. -1181. 1770. 1196. 14.	
	CASE INITIAL PRESTRESS FINAL PRESTRESS SECONDARY PRESTRESS BEAM DEAD NON-COMP DEAD COMPOSITE DEAD LIVE PLUS IMPACT INIT P/S + BEAM DL FINAL P/S + TOT DL P/S + ALL LOADS CHECK CRITICAL CONCRETE STRESSES	TOP FIBER -561. -486. 0. 466. 274. 0. 1181. -96. 254. 1435.	BOTTOM FIBER 2236. 1936. 0. -466. -274. 0. -1181. 1770. 1196. 14.	
(CASE INITIAL PRESTRESS FINAL PRESTRESS SECONDARY PRESTRESS BEAM DEAD NON-COMP DEAD COMPOSITE DEAD LIVE PLUS IMPACT INIT P/S + BEAM DL FINAL P/S + TOT DL P/S + ALL LOADS CHECK CRITICAL CONCRETE STRESSES CASE	TOP FIBER -561. -486. 0. 466. 274. 0. 1181. -96. 254. 1435. ACTUAL	BOTTOM FIBER 2236. 1936. 0. -466. -274. 0. -1181. 1770. 1196. 14. ALLOWABLE	
	CASE INITIAL PRESTRESS FINAL PRESTRESS SECONDARY PRESTRESS BEAM DEAD NON-COMP DEAD COMPOSITE DEAD LIVE PLUS IMPACT INIT P/S + BEAM DL FINAL P/S + TOT DL P/S + ALL LOADS CHECK CRITICAL CONCRETE STRESSES CASE INITIAL TENSION	TOP FIBER -561. -486. 0. 466. 274. 0. 1181. -96. 254. 1435. ACTUAL -96.	BOTTOM FIBER 2236. 1936. 0. -466. -274. 0. -1181. 1770. 1196. 14. ALLOWABLE -474.	< OK
	CASE INITIAL PRESTRESS FINAL PRESTRESS SECONDARY PRESTRESS BEAM DEAD NON-COMP DEAD COMPOSITE DEAD LIVE PLUS IMPACT INIT P/S + BEAM DL FINAL P/S + TOT DL P/S + ALL LOADS CHECK CRITICAL CONCRETE STRESSES CASE INITIAL TENSION INITIAL TENSION INITIAL COMPRESSION	TOP FIBER -561. -486. 0. 466. 274. 0. 1181. -96. 254. 1435. ACTUAL -96. 1770.	BOTTOM FIBER 2236. 1936. 0. -466. -274. 0. -1181. 1770. 1196. 14. ALLOWABLE -474. 2400.	< 0K < 0K
ſ	CASE INITIAL PRESTRESS FINAL PRESTRESS SECONDARY PRESTRESS BEAM DEAD NON-COMP DEAD COMPOSITE DEAD LIVE PLUS IMPACT INIT P/S + BEAM DL FINAL P/S + TOT DL P/S + ALL LOADS CHECK CRITICAL CONCRETE STRESSES CASE INITIAL TENSION INITIAL TENSION FINAL TENSION (DL ONLY) FINAL TENSION	TOP FIBER -561. -486. 0. 466. 274. 0. 1181. -96. 254. 1435. ACTUAL -96. 1770. 1196. 14	BOTTOM FIBER 2236. 1936. 0. -466. -274. 0. -1181. 1770. 1196. 14. ALLOWABLE -474. 2400. 0.	< OK < OK < OK
	CASE INITIAL PRESTRESS FINAL PRESTRESS SECONDARY PRESTRESS BEAM DEAD NON-COMP DEAD COMPOSITE DEAD LIVE PLUS IMPACT INIT P/S + BEAM DL FINAL P/S + TOT DL P/S + ALL LOADS CHECK CRITICAL CONCRETE STRESSES CASE INITIAL TENSION INITIAL TENSION FINAL TENSION (DL ONLY) FINAL TENSION FINAL COMPRESSION	TOP FIBER -561. -486. 0. 466. 274. 0. 1181. -96. 254. 1435. ACTUAL -96. 1770. 1196. 14. 1435.	BOTTOM FIBER 2236. 1936. 0. -466. -274. 0. -1181. 1770. 1196. 14. ALLOWABLE -474. 2400. 0. 0. 2000.	< OK < OK < OK < OK < OK < OK

EISMI	C DESIGN	EXAMPLE FOR	RAILROAD UNDE	RPASS	
Y <u>R.M</u>	ATTHEWS	DATE <u>10/6/01</u>	PAGE <u>C-7</u>		
	момв	ENT CAP	АСІТҮ		
	======	=================	=================		=====
	Х	Mult	1.2Mcr	phiMn	
	405	77848680.	13077953.	76452936.	Mild steel = 1.275
	BEAN	M DEFLE(CTIONS		
	======		=======================================		=====
	Initial	l prestress de:	flection =	0.906	
	Final p Simple	prestress defle beam dead load	ection = d deflection =	0.702 0.220	
	SHEZ	AR CAPA(~ T T Y		
	======	=================	=======================================		=====
	Х	Vult	phiVn	Av/S	
	405	0.	89484.	0.012	

Т





BY R. MATTHEWS DATE 10/6/01 PAGE C-9

• Design

- Compressive stress (AREMA 19-1.6.3.4)

Compressive stress = 1000 psi for steel reinforced bearings Area = A > $(39.4 + 67.7) / 1.0 = 107 \text{ in}^2$ Square bearing width > $(107)^{1/2} = 10.3$ "

Try 12" x 12" bearing (A = 144 in^2)

Compressive stress = $f_a = 107.1 / 144 = 0.74$ ksi < G S / k Shear modulus = G = 140 psi (Figure 1-1c at 70 degrees F) Shape factor = S = L x W / (2 x t_i(L + W)) S = 12 x 12 / (2 x 0.5 (12 + 12)) = 6 Modifying factor = k = 1.0 $f_a = 140 \times 6 / 1.0 = 840$ psi > 740 psi Okay

DMJM HARRIS



Relationship of shear modulus to hardness of neoprene compounds at various temperatures

Graph C

BY R. MATTHEWS DATE 10/6/01 PAGE D-2

DMJM HARRIS

Service loads

 $M_{ot} = 82.2 \times 15.5 / 3 + 74.4 \times 15.5 / 2 = 1001 \text{ k-ft}$ $M_{rt} = (300 + 430) \times 4 + 21.4 \times 5.75 + 59.9 \times 4.5 + 50.4 \times 4 + 7.1 \times 7.1 = 3565 \text{ k-ft}$

x = (3565 - 1001) / 869 = 2.95 ft. eccentricity = 4 - 2.95 = 1.05 ft.

 $P_v = 189 + 111 = 300$ kips (Pg C-1)

 $w1 = 1 \times 7.5 \times 19 \times 0.15 = 21.4$ kips $w^2 = 3.5 \times 6 \times 19 \times 0.15 = 59.9 \text{ kips}$ w3 = 8 x 2 x 21 x 0.15 = 50.4 kips w4 = 1.75 x 13.5 x 2 x 0.15 = 7.1 kips

Total = 439 kips

 $P_v = 430$ kips (Pg C-2)

Longitudinal force

See sheet F-2 for longitudinal force calculations.

Earth pressure

 $P_e = 0.036(19)(15.5)^2/2 = 82.2$ kips $P_{sc} = 0.3(16)(15.5) = 74.4$ kips

Total = 157 kips

BY<u>R. MATTHEWS</u> DATE <u>10/6/01</u> PAGE <u>D-3</u>

Pile Design

Section properties:

Pile area = 196 Pile moment of inertia = 3201 Pile group section modulus = $5(2)^2 = 20$

Lateral load on piles = 157 / 10 = 15.7 kips/pile

 $P_{max} = 869 / 10 + 869 \times 1.05 / 20 = 133$ kips $P_{min} = 869 / 10 - 869 \times 1.05 / 20 = 41$ kips

Use (10) 14" square PC/PS concrete piles.

DMJM HARRIS

TYPICAL PILE SECTION

- Shear capacity (AREMA 8-35)

For spiral reinforcing: $\phi V_s = \phi A_v f_y D' \pi / (2 \times s)$

As = 0.08 in² $\phi = 0.319"$ D' = 14 - 4 - 0.319 = 9.68" $\phi V_s = 0.85 \times 0.08 \times 60 \times 9.68 \times 3.1416 / (2 \times 1.25) = 49.6$ kips

- Lateral pile analysis

Perform lateral load analysis with LPILE program to determine bending moment and stiffness.

Soil is sand with friction angle $\phi = 32.5^{\circ}$ Assume the piles are pinned at the top. Assume soil modulus k = 90 pci (LPILE users manual table 3.2)

BY R. MATTHEWS DATE 10/6/01 PAGE D-4

DMJM HARRIS

Analysis results:

Load	Deflection	Moment	Stiffness
(kips)	(inches)	(k-ft)	(k/in)
10	0.066	18	151
20	0.147	40	136
30	0.258	67	117
40	0.410	101	98
50	0.614	142	81

- Interaction diagram

С	Mn	Pn	Comments
	0	-189.6	Maximum tension
0.7	23	-148.8	
1.4	44.3	-108	
2.1	71.4	-45.3	
2.58	89.8	0.2	Pure bending
2.8	97.5	19.1	
3.07	106.1	41.1	Load condition no 1
3.5	118.7	74.1	
4.2	134.9	122.8	
4.29	137	133.8	Load condition no 2
4.9	150.2	203	
5.6	163.3	273.5	
6.3	174.3	337.3	
6.59	178.2	362.5	Balanced strain
7	180.9	403.5	
7.7	184.7	469.3	
8.4	187.3	530.9	
9.1	188.4	589.4	
9.8	187.8	644.2	
10.5	185.7	687.3	
11.2	182.1	738.4	
11.9	177	788.3	
12.6	170.5	837.2	
13.3	162.3	885.2	
	0	1136.4	Maximum compression

Dead plus live load combination is not critical lateral load for pile design.

• Additional abutment design calculations are required to determine the abutment wall and footing reinforcement, however, they are not included in this example.

SEISMIC DESIGN EXAMPLE FOR RAILROAD UNDERPASS

BY<u>R. MATTHEWS</u> DATE <u>10/6/01</u> PAGE <u>D-5</u>

DMJM HARRIS

Abutment longitudinal stiffness

The abutment longitudinal stiffness will be determined for use in the seismic analysis. There has been considerable research, both empirical and analytical, on the capacity and stiffness of the abutment for highway bridges. At this time, however, there has been no consensus as to what abutment capacity and stiffness is appropriate. Caltrans uses a capacity based on a uniform soil passive resistance of 7.7 ksf for an 8' deep superstructure, but this assumes that the abutment breaks off during a maximum credible earthquake event. The longitudinal capacity and stiffness of the soil behind the abutments for this railroad bridge will be engaged once the 3/4" expansion gap is closed at the abutment, however, the backwall cannot break off and still satisfy the Level 1 performance requirements. There will also be some tensile capacity of the CWR track at the opposite abutment.

 The abutment stiffness will be calculated using a simple finite element model to represent the stiffness of the soil and piles.

ABUTMENT MODEL

 $K_{ph} = 100 \text{ kips/in x 5} = 500 \text{ k/in}$

The Caltrans abutment capacity for comparison purposes is $7.7 \times (6.67)^2 \times 19 / 8 = 814$ kips at 2% abutment movement = $0.02 \times 176 = 3.52$ " for an initial stiffness of 814 / 3.52 = 231 k/in.

BY R. MATTHEWS DATE 10/6/01 PAGE E-1

DMJM HARRIS

SEISMIC ANALYSIS

Analyze structure for Level 1 ground motion.

Analysis procedure selection (AREMA 9-1.4.4.2)

Two-span bridge can use Equivalent Lateral Force (ELF) procedure.

Structure response (AREMA 9-1.4.3)

• Site coefficient (AREMA 9-1.4.3.1)

From page B-1: Soil is silty sand with a friction angle of 32.5 degrees. The water table is greater than 100 feet below ground and the bedrock depth exceeds 200 feet.

Soil Type	Description	Site Coefficient
1	Rock of any characteristic, either shale-like or crystalline in nature, that may be characterized by a shear wave velocity greater than 2,500 feet per second, or stiff soil conditions where the soil depth is less than 200 feet and the soil types overlying the rock are stable deposits of sand, gravel, or stiff clays.	1.0
2	Deep cohesionless or stiff clay conditions where the soil depth exceeds 200 feet and the soil types overlying rock are stable deposits of sands, gravel, or stiff clays.	1.2
3	20 to 40 feet of soft to medium-stiff clays with or without intervening layers of cohesionless soils.	1.5
4	Soil containing more than 40 feet of soft clays or silts, that may be characterized by a shear wave velocity of less than 500 feet per second.	2.0

Table 1.5 Site Coefficient

The soil corresponds to type 2 with a site coefficient, S = 1.2

BY R. MATTHEWS DATE 10/6/01 PAGE E-2

Damping adjustment factor (AREMA 9-1.4.3.2)

$$D = \frac{1.5}{\left(0.4\xi + 1\right)} + 0.5$$

 ξ = Percent critical damping

Assume damping increase to 10% in longitudinal direction due to the presence of CWR on this short (less than 300' long) bridge (AREMA C-1.4.7.4.5).

DMJM HARRIS

$$D = \frac{1.5}{(0.4(10) + 1))} + 0.5 = 0.8$$

Seismic response coefficient (AREMA 9-1.4.3.3)

$$C_m = \frac{1.2ASD}{T_m^{2/3}} \le 2.5AD$$

Base acceleration coefficient = A = 0.22G (Page B-4)

Trans $C_{tm} = \frac{1.2(0.22)(1.2)1.0}{T_{tm}^{2/3}} \le 2.5(0.22)(1.0) = \frac{0.317}{T_{tm}^{2/3}} \le 0.55$

Long
$$C_{lm} = \frac{1.2(0.22)(1.2)0.8}{T_{lm}^{2/3}} \le 2.5(0.22)(0.8) = \frac{0.253}{T_{lm}^{2/3}} \le 0.44$$

Equivalent Lateral Force Procedure (AREMA 9-1.4.4.3):

• Calculate the transverse natural period of vibration (T_{tm}) of the structure.

Since the bridge superstructure is simply-supported, there is no coupling of modes between the abutments and bent. Therefore the transverse natural period of vibration will be calculated separately at the abutments and bent.

$$T_{tm} = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{W}{gK}}$$

W = Total weight of the bridge (tributory) g = Acceleration due to gravity (length/time²) = 386 in/s² K = The total structure stiffness including the stiffness of the superstructure, supporting members and surrounding soil.

BY R. MATTHEWS DATE 10/6/01 PAGE E-4

- Section properties:

Bent cap:	A = 5 x 4 = 20 ft ² $I_g = 5(4)^3 / 12 = 160 \text{ ft}^4$ Assume $I_e = 0.75 \text{ x } 160 = 120 \text{ ft}^4$
Column:	$A = 3.1416(1.5)^2 = 7.07 \text{ ft}^2$

Column: $A = 3.1416(1.5)^2 = 7.07 \text{ ft}^2$ $I_g = 3.1416(1.5)^4 / 4 = 3.98 \text{ ft}^4$ Assume $I_e = 0.5 \times 3.98 = 1.99 \text{ ft}^4$

- Weight:

The weight consists of the tributory superstructure weight, the bent cap weight and 1/2 the columns weight.

W = 653 (page C-1) + 0.15 x (20 x 19 + 7.07 x 13.5) = 724 kips

Superstructure:

$$Y_{CG1} = 2 + \frac{5.6 \times 2.75 + 0.773 \times 6.9 + 2.095 \times 5.9 + 0.137 \times 6.5 + 0.2 \times 7.1}{8.805} = 4.05$$

Bent cap and columns:

$$Y_{CG2} = \frac{57 \times 0 - 14.3 \times 5.375}{71.3} = -1.08'$$

$$Y_{CG} = \frac{653 \times 4 - 71.3 \times 1.08}{724} = 3.5'$$

DMJM HARRIS

BY R. MATTHEWS DATE 10/6/01 PAGE E-5

- Stiffness:

- 1. Foundation stiffness can be determined from the individual pile stiffnesses and the pile group arrangement along with the passive soil stiffness at the pile cap.
 - A. For the vertical pile stiffness, assume that the pile has a service load capacity of 100 tons and that this value was developed from a yield load capacity of 200 tons at a 1/2" deflection.

DMJM HARRIS

 $K_{pv} = 200 \times 2 / 0.5" = 800 \text{ k/in (per pile)}$

B. For the (average) lateral pile stiffness, a review of the stiffness table and the interaction diagram on page D-4 shows that a reasonable average yield bending moment is 100 k-ft, which corresponds to a stiffness of approximately 100 k/in, therefore a reasonable average lateral pile stiffness is:

 $K_{ph} = 100$ kips/in (per pile) $K_{gh} = 100 \times 18 = 1800$ k/in (group)

C. For the rotational pile group stiffness, a unit lateral deflection will be assumed at the superstructure CG and the individual pile loads will be calculated from the geometry.

SEISMIC DESI	GN EXAMPL <u>3</u> DATE_1	.E FOR F <u>0/6/01</u>	PAGE <u>E-6</u>	DERPASS	DMJM ■HA RRIS
	θ = 1	/ [(15.5	5 + 3.5 + 3) x 12	2] = 0.00379	radians
	P1 = P2 = P3 =	-P6 = - -P5 = - -P4 = -	0.00379 x 10 x 0.00379 x 6 x 1 0.00379 x 2 x 1	12 x 800 = -2 12 x 800 = -2 12 x 800 = -7	-364 kips 218 kips 73 kips
	K _{pr} =	(364 x	6 x 10 + 218 x	6 x 6 + 73 x	6 x 2) / 22 = 1389 k/in
	D. The sprin	passive g gener	soil stiffness a rated using the	t the pile cap following as	o will be simulated with a soil sumptions.
	i. ii. iii.	Soil fr Dynai Full p footin	riction angle ∳= mic passive pre assive pressur g depth	32.5 degree essure = 1.5 e develops v	es x Coulomb passive pressure vith a movement of 2% of the
		$K_p =$	$\frac{1}{\sin^2\alpha\sin(\alpha+\delta)}$	$\frac{\sin^2(\alpha - \phi)}{\int \left[1 - \sqrt{\frac{\sin(\phi - \phi)}{\sin(\alpha - \phi)}}\right]}$	$\frac{-\delta)\sin(\phi+\beta)}{-\delta)\sin(\alpha+\beta)}\Big]^2$
		$\alpha = 90$ $\beta = 0^{\circ}$ $\delta = 2$	0° x 32.5 / 3 = 21	.7°	
		K _p = 7	7.7		
		Capa Defle	city P1 = 7.7 x ction = 0.02 x 4	1.5 x 0.12 x I.5 x 12 = 1.0	3 x (3 x 12) = 150 kips)8"
		K = 1	50 / 1.08 = 139) k/in	
2.	Structure st a bent finite bent model	iffness of elemer does no	can be determi nt model and de ot include found	ned by apply etermining th dation flexibi	ring a unit horizontal force to the deflection. Note that the lity.
	Unit load = Deflection = K = 100 / 0.	100 kips = 0.202 i 202 = 4	s inches (See Tra 95 kips/in	ansverse An	alysis of Bent, below)
3.	The total tra foundation	ansverse stiffness	e bent stiffness and the struct	can be dete ure stiffness	ermined by summing up the
	K = [(1800 ·	+ 139) ⁻¹	+ (1389) ⁻¹ + (49	95) ⁻¹] ⁻¹ = 307	ˈ k/in

- Transverse Analysis of Bent (File = bent.sdb):

1. The results of a transverse bent analysis are shown below for a unit lateral load of 100 kips.

ANALYSIS RESULTS

LOAD	ITEM	BENT	LEFT	RIGHT
		CAP	COLUMN	COLUMN
P _h = 100 kips	AXIAL	-	-92 k	92 k
	SHEAR	63 k	50 k	50 k
	M+ve	377 k-ft	377 k-ft	397 k-ft
	M-ve	377 k-ft	397 k-ft	377 k-ft
	DEFL		0.202 in	

2. The results of a transverse bent analysis are shown below for dead load, live load and impact.

ANALYSIS RESULTS

LOAD	ITEM	BENT	LEFT	RIGHT
		CAP	COLUMN	COLUMN
DEAD LOAD	AXIAL	-	371 k	371 k
(w = 653/14)	SHEAR	298 k	7 k	7 k
	M+ve	780 k-ft	35 k-ft	35 k-ft
	M-ve	114 k-ft	72 k-ft	72 k-ft
LIVE LOAD	AXIAL	-	342 k	342 k
(w = 684/14)	SHEAR	293 k	7 k	7 k
	M+ve	782 k-ft	35 k-ft	35 k-ft
	M-ve	98 k-ft	73 k-ft	73 k-ft
IMPACT	AXIAL	-	89 k	89 k
(w = 178/14)	SHEAR	76 k	2 k	2 k
	M+ve	203 k-ft	9 k-ft	9 k-ft
	M-ve	25 k-ft	19 k-ft	19 k-ft

- Natural period:

$$T_{tm} = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{724}{386(307)}} = 0.491$$
 seconds

• Calculate the Transverse Seismic Response Coefficient (C_m) for the structure (Page E-2).

Transverse
$$C_{tm} = \frac{0.317}{0.491^{2/3}} = 0.51 < 0.55$$
 : Use 0.51

Since the abutment is stiffer than the bent, the transverse seismic response coefficient at the abutment will be controlled by the maximum response of 0.55Gs.

BY R. MATTHEWS DATE 10/6/01 PAGE E-9

Perform static analysis on the bridge in the transverse direction.
Calculate the distributed seismic load from the following formula.
p(x) = C_m w(x)
p(x) = distributed seismic load per unit length of bridge w(x) = distributed weight of bridge per unit length
1. Uniform lateral load on spans:
p(x) = 0.51 x 8.9 (page C-1) = 4.54 k/ft
2. Lateral load on bent:
P = 0.51(724) = 369 kips
3. Lateral load on abutments:
P = 0.55(653 / 2) = 180 kips
Distribute the seismic load to individual bent members based on the stiffness and support conditions.

Ratio unit lateral load results (page E-7)

LOAD	ITEM	BENT	LEFT	RIGHT
		CAP	COLUMN	COLUMN
P _h = 369 kips	AXIAL	-	-339 k	339 k
	SHEAR	232 k	185 k	185 k
	M+ve	1391 k-ft	1391 k-ft	1465 k-ft
	M-ve	1391 k-ft	1465 k-ft	1391 k-ft
	DEFL		0.745 in	

BENT MEMBER LOADS

SEISMIC DESIGN E	XAMPLE FOR RAILROAD UNDERPASS	^s DMJM ■HA RRIS
 Calculate the The natura considering against the final secan exceeded i 	e longitudinal natural period of vibrati period of the bridge in the longitudina the stiffness of the bent and one of th soil. The initial abutment stiffness is of stiffness may need to be recomputed the original calculation iteration.	tion (T_{Im}) of the structure. al direction will be determined by the abutments compressing calculated on page D-7 and a d if the abutment capacity is
	LONGITUDINAL BRIDG	E MODEL
- Stiffness:		
1. Long	itudinal bent stiffness:	
А.	Foundation stiffness can be determ stiffnesses and the pile group arran soil stiffness at the pile cap.	ined from the individual pile gement along with the passive
	i. Vertical pile stiffness (Page I	E-5)
	K _{pv} = 800 k/in (per pile)	
	ii. Lateral pile stiffness (Page E	-5)
	K _{gh} = 1800 k/in (group)	
	iii. For the rotational pile stiffnes assumed at the superstructu loads will be calculated from	ss, a unit lateral deflection will be re CG and the individual pile the geometry.

BY R. MATTHEWS DATE 10/6/01 PAGE E-12

C. The total longitudinal bent stiffness can be determined by summing up the foundation stiffness and the structure stiffness

DMJM HARRIS

$$K = [(1800 + 277)^{-1} + (316)^{-1} + (96)^{-1}]^{-1} = 71 \text{ k/in}$$

2. Longitudinal abutment stiffness (Page D-7):

Capacity = 400 kips K_a = 248 kips/in

3. Total longitudinal stiffness:

K_a = 71 + 248 = 319 kips/in

- Weight:

W = 724 + 653 = 1377 kips

- Natural period:

$$T_{\rm lm} = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{1377}{386(319)}} = 0.66$$
 seconds

 Calculate the Longitudinal Seismic Response Coefficient (C_m) for the structure (Page E-2)

Long
$$C_{lm} = \frac{0.253}{0.66^{2/3}} = 0.33 \le 0.44$$
 Use 0.33

BY R. MATTHEWS DATE 10/6/01 PAGE E-14

 Combine the loads in each of the two principal directions of the structure to get the final seismic design loads in the bent columns.

DMJM HARRIS

- Transverse column loads:

	Earthquake		Dead Load	
ITEM	LEFT	RIGHT	LEFT	RIGHT
	COLUMN	COLUMN	COLUMN	COLUMN
AXIAL	-339 k	339 k	371 k	371 k
SHEAR	185 k	185 k	7 k	7 k
M _{+ve}	1391 k-ft	1465 k-ft	35 k-ft	35 k-ft
M _{-ve}	1465 k-ft	1391 k-ft	72 k-ft	72 k-ft

- Longitudinal column loads:

	Earth	quake
ITEM	LEFT	RIGHT
	COLUMN	COLUMN
AXIAL	-	-
SHEAR	51 k	51 k
M _{top}	-	-
M _{bot}	884 k-ft	884 k-ft

- Perform two load combinations for investigation:

(a) Combination 1: Combine the forces in the longitudinal direction with 30% of the forces from the transverse direction.

P = 371 +/- 0.3 x 339 = +473/+269 kips V = $[(7 + 0.3 x 185)^2 + (51)^2]^{1/2}$ = 81 kips M = $[(35 + 0.3 x 1465)^2 + (884)^2]^{1/2}$ = 1003 k-ft

(b) Combination 2: Combine the forces in the transverse direction with 30% of the forces from the longitudinal direction.

P = 371 +/- 339 = +710/+32 kips V = $[(7 + 185)^2 + (0.3 \times 51)^2]^{1/2}$ = 193 kips M = $[(35 + 1465)^2 + (0.3 \times 884)^2]^{1/2}$ = 1523 k-ft

BY<u>R. MATTHEWS</u> DATE <u>10/6/01</u> PAGE F-2

DMJM HARRIS

Bent loads

• Longitudinal load (AREMA 8-2.2.3j)

The longitudinal train loads due to braking and adhesion will be calculated.

(1) Braking LF = 45 + 1.2L = 45 + 1.2(140) = 213 kips (2) Adhesion LF = $25(L)^{1/2} = 25(140)^{1/2} = 296$ kips

(2) Adhesion LF controls

AREMA 8-2.2.3j allows for the passive resistance of the backfill behind the abutments to be utilized where applicable. The longitudinal train force to the abutment and bent will be distributed similar to the longitudinal earthquake force on Page E-13.

Bent load = 296 x 71 / 319 = 66 kips Abutment load = 296 x 248 / 319 = 230 kips

- Bent column loads:

V = 66 / 2 = 33 kips $M_1 = 66 \times 19 / 2 = 627$ k-ft

The bent column loads are not critical compared to the seismic loads.

• Wind load (AREMA 8-2.2.3h)

The wind load on structure is calculated using a uniform pressure of 45 psf on the vertical projection of the bridge.

W = 0.045 x 7.5 x 70 = 24 kips

The wind loads are not critical compared to the seismic loads.

• Wind on live load (AREMA 8-2.2.3i)

The wind load on the train is calculated using a linear force of 300 lbs/ft.

 $WL = 0.3 \times 70 = 21 \text{ kips}$

The wind on live load is not critical compared to the seismic loads.

DMJM HARRIS

BY R. MATTHEWS DATE 10/6/01 PAGE F-3

Column design

• Load combinations (AREMA 8-2.2.4 & 9-1.4.5)

```
Group I: 1.4[D + 5/3(L + I)]
Seismic: 1.0D + 1.0EQ
```

D, L and I loads are shown on page E-8. EQ loads are shown on page E-14.

Group I:	P = $1.4[371 + 1.67(342 + 89)] = 1527$ kips V = $1.4[7 + 1.67(7 + 2)] = 31$ kips M = $1.4[72 + 1.67(73 + 19)] = 316$ k-ft
Seismic-1:	P = $371 + 0.3 \times 339 = +473 + 269$ kips V = $[(7 + 0.3 \times 185)^2 + (51)^2]^{1/2} = 81$ kips M = $[(35 + 0.3 \times 1465)^2 + (884)^2]^{1/2} = 1003$ k-ft
Seismic-2:	P = $371 + 339 = 710 + 32 \text{ kips}$ V = $[(7 + 185)^2 + (0.3 \times 51)^2]^{1/2} = 193 \text{ kips}$ M = $[(35 + 1465)^2 + (0.3 \times 884)^2]^{1/2} = 1523 \text{ k-ft}$

Longitudinal reinforcing design

Try using 32 #9 bars (16-2 bar bundles)

As = 32 in² $\rho_{g} = 0.031$

SEISMIC DESIGN EXAMPLE FOR RAILROAD UNDERPASS	DMIM HARRIS
BY <u>R.MATTHEWS</u> DATE <u>10/6/01</u> PAGE <u>F-5</u>	
Seismic-2a Combination:	
For Axial load = 710 kips Reduction factor = 0.7 Moment capacity = 1557 k-ft > 1523 Okay	
Seismic-2b Combination:	
For Axial load = 32 kips Reduction factor = 0.884 Moment capacity = 1639 k-ft > 1523 Okay	
Longitudinal reinforcing confinement (AREMA 9-1.4.7.2.7)	1)
Design spiral reinforcing to allow column to respond in th	ne post-yield range.
 AREMA 9-1.4.7.2.1a(1) - The volumetric ratio of spir plastic hinge zone shall not be less than: 	al reinforcement in the
(1) $\rho_s > 0.12$ f'c / fy = 0.12 x 4 / 60 = .008 (2) $\rho_s \ge$ that required by Chapter 8, Section 2.11.2	
(1) controls by inspection	
Volumetric ratio = ρ_s = 4 x As / (D x s) Assume #5 spiral: 0.008 < 4 x 0.31 / (32 x s) s < 4.84"	
 AREMA 8-2.11.2a(3) - The longitudinal spacing of th reinforcement in the plastic hinge zone shall not be g 	e confinement greater than:
(1) s \leq that required by Chapter 8, Section 2.11.2 (2) s \leq one-quarter of the minimum member dimension (3) s \leq six times the diameter of the longitudinal reinform (4) s \leq 6" (150 mm)	on orcement
(1) controls for maximum clear spacing between spira	als
Clear s = 3.5625 = 2.875" < 3" Therefore 3	.5" spacing is okay
Use #5 spiral at 3.5" spacing throughout column.	

BY R. MATTHEWS DATE 10/6/01 PAGE F-6

Column plastic hinging

The loads due to column plastic hinging in the transverse direction can be calculated either using nonlinear static (pushover) analysis or iterative hand analysis using the following assumptions:

DMJM HARRIS

- Column plastic hinging moment per AREMA 9-1.4.7.3.1b
- Column plastic hinge length per AREMA 9-1.4.7.2.1a(5)

The results of this analysis will provide the transverse earthquake design shear force for the columns per AREMA 9-1.4.7.2.1a(7) and the design forces for the bent cap and foundation per AREMA 9-1.4.7.3.1b.

• The length of the plastic hinge zone from the joint face shall not be less than: (AREMA 9-1.4.7.2.1a(5))

(1) $l_o \ge$ the depth of the member = 36" (2) $l_o \ge$ one-sixth of the clear span of the member = 13.5 x 12 / 6 = 27" (3) $l_o \ge$ 18" (450 mm)

(1) 36" controls plastic hinge length

BY R. MATTHEWS DATE 10/6/01 PAGE F-7

• Use iterative hand analysis method

Total weight: Center of load:		W = 371 x 2 = 742 kips (Page E-8) Ycg = 5.5 ft (Page E-4)
Initial axial loads	::	P _i = 371 kips (Page E-8) P _r = 371 kips
Initial nominal m	oments:	M _l = 2029 k-ft (Page F-4) M _r = 2029 k-ft
Total lateral load	1:	V = 2 x 1.3 (2029 + 2029) / 13.5 = 782 kips
Iteration 1:	$P_{r} = [742 \times 6]$ $P_{l} = 742 - 11$ $M_{l} = 1537 \text{ k-1}$ $M_{r} = 2240 \text{ k-1}$ $V = 2 \times 1.3 \text{ (f)}$	+ 782 x 19 - 1.3(2029+2029)]/12 = 1169 kips 69 = -427 kips ft (page F-4) ft 1537 + 2240) / 13.5 = 727 kips

Continue iterations until V converges:

No	Pı	Pr	M	Mr	V
1	-427	1169	1537	2240	727
2	-372	1114	1578	2236	735
3	-379	1121	1573	2236	734

DMJM HARRIS

Use iteration number 3 for final loads (without 1.3 factor on moments).

• Compare unreduced level 3 loads with plastic hinging loads

The unreduced level 3 loads can be calculated by scaling the level 1 loads by the ratio of the base acceleration coefficients.

Factor = 0.59 / 0.22 = 2.68 (Page B-4)

Axial load:	$P_{min} = 371 - 2.68 \times 339 = -538$ kips $P_{max} = 371 + 2.68 \times 339 = 1280$ kips
Shear:	V = 7 + 185 x 2.68 = 503 kips (Page E-14)
Moment:	$\begin{split} M_{rtop} &= 72 + 1391 \times 2.68 = 3800 \text{ k-ft} \\ M_{rbot} &= 35 + 1465 \times 2.68 = 3961 \text{ k-ft} \\ M_{ltop} &= 72 - 1391 \times 2.68 = -3656 \text{ k-ft} \\ M_{lbot} &= 35 - 1465 \times 2.68 = -3891 \text{ k-ft} \end{split}$

BY<u>R.MATTHEWS</u> DATE <u>10/6/01</u> PAGE F-8

DMJM HARRIS

- Check column shear
 - 1. AREMA 9-1.4.7.2.1a(7) The column shear is based on the maximum force which can be generated.

 $V_u = [(7 + 185 \times 2.68)^2 + (0.3 \times 51 \times 2.68)^2]^{1/2} = 504$ kips (Level 3)

 $V_{1u} = 1.3 \times 2 \times 1573 / 13.5 = 303$ kips (Column 1 plastic hinging) $V_{2u} = 1.3 \times 2 \times 2236 / 13.5 = 431$ kips (Column 2 plastic hinging)

The plastic hinging shear load controls since it is less than the unreduced level 3 shear load.

 AREMA 9-1.4.7.2.1a(8) - The confinement reinforcement in the plastic hinge zone shall be proportioned to resist shear assuming the nominal concrete shear strength is zero when the shear force determined in Paragraph 1.4.7.2.1a(7) is greater than one-half the maximum required shear strength in this area and the factored axial compressive force for the seismic load condition is less than A_gf'_c/20.

Maximum required shear strength = 193 kips (Page F-3) 303 kips and 431 kips > 193 / 2 = 97 kips

-and -

Axial compressive force Pu (min) = -379 kips < $A_g f'_c/20 = 204$ kips Axial compressive force Pu (max) = 1121 kips > 204 kips

Therefore the concrete shear strength, φV_c , is assumed to be zero for the column in tension.

3. AREMA 8-2.35.2 - Concrete shear strength

For circular column: $\phi V_n = \phi \ge 2 (f'_c)^{1/2} \ge 0.8A_c$ $\phi V_n = 0.85 \ge 2 (4000)^{1/2} \ge 0.8 \ge 1018 / 1000 = 88$ kips

Shear reinforcing strength $\phi V_s > 431 - 88 = 343$ kips

BY R. MATTHEWS DATE 10/6/01 PAGE F-10

Bent cap design

• Load combinations (AREMA 8-2.2.4 & 9-1.4.5)

Group I: 1.4[D + 5/3(L + I)]Seismic: 1.0D + 1.0EQ

D+L+I loads are shown on page E-8. EQ loads are shown on page F-7.

DMJM HARRIS

BENT CAP FREE BODY DIAGRAM

- EQ: w = 49.64 k/ft $P_e = 734 \times 5.5 / 12 = 336 \text{ kips}$ (lateral load above cap cl) $M_{+ve} = 2045 - 49.64(2.5)^2 / 2 - (379 - 336) \times 1.5 = 1825 \text{ k-ft}$ $M_{-ve} = 2907 + 49.64(2.5)^2 / 2 - (1121 - 336) \times 1.5 = 1885 \text{ k-ft}$
- Flexural design per AREMA 8-2.32

Positive moment	Negative moment
Mu _{+ve} = 1825 k-ft	Mu _{-ve} = 1885 k-ft
b = 60", h = 48", d = 44"	b = 60", h = 48", d = 44"
As _{+ve} > 9.52 in ²	As _{-ve} > 9.84 in ²
AREMA 9-1.4.7.3.2b(3)	AREMA 9-1.4.7.3.2b(3)
Add 8% column steel	Add 8% column steel
$0.08 \times 32 = 2.56 \text{ in}^2$	$0.08 \times 32 = 2.56 \text{ in}^2$
Total As _{±ve} = 12.08 in ²	Total As _{-ve} = 12.4 in ²

BY R. MATTHEWS DATE 10/6/01 PAGE F-12

Column / Cap Joint Design

• Joint shear design per AREMA 9-1.4.7.3.2b

Outside the joint (within a distance of 1/2 the column width):

 $\begin{array}{l} A_v > 0.16 \ x \ 32 = 5.12 \ in^2 \\ \text{no. of } 4\text{-legged \#6 stirrups} = 5.12 \ / \ (0.44 \ x \ 4) = 2.9 \ \text{say } 3 \\ \text{s} < 18 \ / \ (3 \ -1) = 9" \ > 6" \ \text{therefore the regular shear design controls at the joint} \end{array}$

DMJM HARRIS

Inside the joint:

 $A_v > 0.08 \times 32 = 2.56 \text{ in}^2$ no. of 4-legged #6 stirrups = 2.56 / (0.44 x 4) = 1.5 say 2

Use 2 stirrups inside the joint - revise the closed stirrup detail as shown below for constructability.

BENT CAP SECTION AT COLUMN

- 5. Provide column confinement reinforcing of #5 hoops @ 4" inside the joint.
- 6. Provide column confinement reinforcing of #6 spirals @ 3" outside the joint (discontinue at cap main bottom reinforcing).

BY R. MATTHEWS DATE 10/6/01 PAGE F-16

DMJM HARRIS

Foundation Design

• Pile loads (AREMA 8-2.2.4 & 9-1.4.5)

Weight of pile cap and soil:

 $W = 24 \times 12 \times 3 \times 0.15 + (24 \times 12 - 7.07 \times 2)(1.5 \times 0.12) = 179$ kips

- Service loads: D + L

D+L loads are shown on page E-8.

 $P_1 = P_r = 371 + 342 = 713$ kips Column shears and moment cancel each other out.

P1 = (713 x 2 + 179) / 12 = 134 kips

PILE CAP TRANSVERSE FREE BODY DIAGRAM

- Ultimate Group I: 1.4[D + 5/3(L)]

P ₁ = 1.4[371 + 1.67(342)] = 1319 kips	P _r = 1319 kips
V ₁ = -1.4[7 + 1.67(7)] = -26 kips	V _r = 26 kips
M _i = -1.4[35 + 1.67(35)] = -131 k-ft	M _r = 131 k-ft

P1 = (1319 x 2 + 1.4 x 179) / 12 = 241 kips

 $1.3 \times M_n = 1.3 \times 2029 = 2638 \text{ k-ft}$ V = 2638 x 2 / (5.5 + 13.5) = 278 kips M = 2638 x 2 + 278 x 3 = 6110 k-ft

PILE CAP LONGITUDINAL FREE BODY DIAGRAM

P1 = 921 / 18 - 6110 / (6 x 8) = -76 kips P3 = 921 / 18 + 6110 / (6 x 8) = 178 kips

BY R. MATTHEWS DATE 10/6/01 PAGE F-18

- Final pile design loads

Service:

P = 134 kips

Seismic:

P = 178 kips P = -76 kips (tension)

Design pile lengths for 70 ton capacity under service loads and 100 ton capacity under seismic loads.

DMJM HARRIS

• Additional foundation design calculations are required to determine the footing reinforcement, however, they are not included in this example.

BY R. MATTHEWS DATE 3/6/02 PAGE G-1

CONTINUITY PROVISIONS

The structure shall be designed with an uninterrupted load path to transfer lateral forces from the superstructure to the ground (AREMA 9-1.4.7.1).

DMJM HARRIS

Superstructure continuity (AREMA 9-1.4.7.1.1)

The superstructure shall be designed to carry the lateral forces to the bearings or shear connectors. The lateral forces from the span will be carried to the end supports by lateral bending of the girders.

PLAN - LATERAL BENDING OF GIRDERS

• Lateral bending of girders (Level 1)

w = 4.54 k/ft (Page E-9) V = 4.54 x 70 / 2 =159 kips M = 4.54 x $(70)^2$ / 8 = 2781 k-ft

Prestressing load (Page C-3):

P = 947 x 4 = 3788 kips e = 26.08"

Dead load (Page C-1):

V = 189 + 111 = 300 kips (4 girders) M = 3189 + 1879 = 5068 k-ft (4 girders)

Section properties (Page C-3):

A = 1316 x 4 = 5264 in² $I_x = 678151 x 4 = 2713000 in^4$ $I_y = 312359 x 4 + 1316 x 2 x 2 (42)^2 = 10535000 in^4$

BY R. MATTHEWS DATE 3/6/02 PAGE G-2

DMJM HARRIS

Bending stress:

- Conservatively assume that the girders act separately

 $\sigma_{(\text{DL+PS})}$ = 947 / 5264 - (5068 x 12 - 3788 x 26.08) x 33 / 2713000 = 0.642 ksi σ_{EQ} = 2781 x 12 x 21 / (4 x 312359) = 0.561 ksi < 0.642 ksi Okay (Girders still in compression)

Note: The box girders are not considered a non-ductile, non-redundant primary load carrying member per AREMA 9-1.4.4.1.2 for lateral bending, therefore there is no need to check the Level 3 load transfer.

Bearings (AREMA 9-1.4.7.1.2)

The elastomeric bearings cannot be relied upon to transfer lateral earthquake forces since their lateral load resistance depends on the amount of friction developed. Shear connectors and span ties will be designed to transfer the lateral seismic loads at the abutments and bent.

• Shear connectors (AREMA 9-1.4.7.4.2)

Shear connectors consisting of reinforced concrete shear keys will be provided to transfer the transverse seismic loads to the abutments and bent.

- Dimensions:

Shear key width = 36 - 7 - 1 = 28" (Page C-1) Shear key height = 24" at bent (Page F-1) Shear key length = 30" per girder support Area = $30 \times 28 = 840$ in²

- Transverse seismic load (Level 1):

V = 180 kips (Page E-9) M = 180 x 2 /2 = 180 k-ft

SEISMIC by <u>r.mat</u>	DESIGN EXAMPLE FOR RAILROAD UNDERPASS
-	Beam ledge reinforcement design
	Note: To limit damage during a higher level earthquake, the beam ledge will be designed for the maximum force which can be transmitted by the transverse shear keys. The maximum shear key load will be calculated assuming a strength reduction factor of 1.0 and a 1.4 shear friction factor.
	P = 3.72 x 60 x 1.4 = 312 kips
1	. Abutment reinforcement
	Design is needed for the beam ledge reinforcement at the abutment seat to prevent a shear failure at the abutment corner.
	V = 312 - 0.85 x $2(4000)^{1/2}$ x 30 x 25.5 / 1000 = 230 kips
	$A_s > 230 / (0.9 \times 60) = 4.26 \text{ in}^2$
	Use (6) #8 at the abutment seat
	$A_s = 4.74 \text{ in}^2 > 4.26 \text{ in}^2$ Okay
2	. Bent cap reinforcement
	V = 2 x 230 = 460 kips
	$A_s > 460 / (0.9 \times 60) = 8.52 \text{ in}^2$
	(10) #10 are available at the bent cap:
	$A_s = 12.7 \text{ in}^2 > 8.52 \text{ in}^2$ Okay

BY R. MATTHEWS DATE 3/6/02 PAGE G-6

 $P = \frac{\pi d^2 f_y}{4\sqrt{3}}$

Note that this formula assumes the pin shear strength $f_v = \frac{f_y}{\sqrt{3}}$

DMJM HARRIS

Minimum pin diameter > $(33.8 \times 2.2 / 130)^{1/2} = 0.76"$

Try 1.5" diameter A354 grade BD pin, f_v = 130 ksi

Check pin bending through the depth of the elastomeric bearing pad.

Pad thickness = 2.9" (Page C-11) M = PL / 2 = 33.8 x 2.9 / 2 = 49 k-in Z = $(1.5)^3$ / 6 = 0.56 in³ f_b = 49 / 0.56 = 88 ksi < 130 ksi Okay